## CORRECTION





## Correction: The significance of risk stratification through nomogram-based assessment in determining postmastectomy radiotherapy for patients diagnosed with $pT_{1-2}N_1M_0$ breast cancer

Chao Wei<sup>1</sup>, Jie Kong<sup>1</sup>, Huina Han<sup>1</sup>, Xue Wang<sup>1</sup>, Zimeng Gao<sup>1</sup>, Danyang Wang<sup>1</sup>, Andu Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Jun Zhang<sup>1</sup> and Zhikun Liu<sup>1\*</sup>

## **Correction to:** *Radiation Oncology* (2024) 19:120. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13014-024-02510-w.

In this article, the authors identified the below errors (the changes are denoted in **bold** emphasis).

- 1. In 'Conclusions' subsection in the Abstract, the last sentence originally read "Similarly, in DFS nomogram, patients with a risk score of **123** or higher who received PMRT demonstrated enhanced DFS.". The sentence has been corrected to "Similarly, in DFS nomogram, patients with a risk score of **54** or higher who received PMRT demonstrated enhanced DFS."
- 2. In the 'Survival analysis' subsection in the Results section, the second sentence originally read "The 5-year OS for the RT group and NRT group were 95.6% and **91.8%**, respectively, while the 8-year OS were **89.5%** and 78.9%, respectively (*P*<0.001)."

The online version of the original article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1186/s13014-024-02510-w.

\*Correspondence: Zhikun Liu zhikunliu1978@163.com <sup>1</sup>Department of Radiation Oncology, The Fourth Hospital of Hebei Medical University, Shijiazhuang 050035, China



© The Author(s) 2025. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if you modified the licensed material. You do not have permission under this licence to share adapted material derived from this article or parts of it. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creative.commons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

The sentence has been corrected to "The 5-year OS for the RT group and NRT group were 95.6% and **89.5%**, respectively, while the 8-year OS were **91.8%** and 78.9%, respectively (P < 0.001)."

- 3. In the 'Survival analysis' subsection in the Results section, the fourth sentence originally read "The 5-year DFS for the RT group and NRT group were 91.3% and **85.6%**, respectively, while the 8-year DFS were **84.1%** and 71.1%, respectively (P < 0.001). (Table 2) (Figs. 1-A and 2-E)." The sentence has been corrected to "The 5-year DFS for the RT group and NRT group were 91.3% and **84.1%**, respectively, while the 8-year DFS were **85.6%** and 71.1%, respectively (P < 0.001). (Table 2) (Figs. 1-A and 2-E)."
- 4. In the Discussion section, the last sentence originally read "Similarly, in DFS nomogram, patients with a risk score of 123 or higher who received PMRT demonstrated enhanced DFS." The sentence has been corrected to "Similarly, in DFS nomogram, patients with a risk score of 54 or higher who received PMRT demonstrated enhanced DFS."

These changes will not affect the results of the article. The original published article has been corrected.

## Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.